

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,279	01/16/2002	James P. Fandl	REG 790A	7032
7590 01/28/2004			EXAMINER	
Laura J. Fischer			SULLIVAN, DANIEL M	
Regeneron Phar	maceuticals, Inc.			
777 Old Saw M	ill River Road	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Tarrytown, NY	10591	1636		

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)		
			0/050,279	FANDL ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary		aminer	Art Unit		
		Da	niel M Sullivan	1636		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	inication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	nddress	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone period for reply specified above is less than thirty operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nmunication. (30) days, a reply with statutory period will app bly will, by statute caus	In no event, however, may n the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory in the statutory	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered tim ONTHS from the mailing date of this ARANDONED (35.U.S.C. & 123)	ely. communication.	
Status	Pasnansiva to communication(a) fi	lad an				
	Responsive to communication(s) fi This action is FINAL .		on in man final			
3)		2b)⊠ This action				
,	closed in accordance with the prac	tice under <i>Ex pa</i>	except for formal ma arte Quayle, 1935 C	itters, prosecution as to the D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ie merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>1-86</u> is/are pending in the	- '				
5) 6) 7)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-86</u> are subject to restrict	tion and/or elect	ion requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
10) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected the specific process.	e: a) accepted action to the drawing the correction is	ing(s) be held in abeya required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).	
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·			10 102.	
a)L * S 13)∐ A sir 37 a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office actic cknowledgment is made of a claim note a specific reference was included CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign la	/ documents have documents have documents have of the priority do nal Bureau (PC on for a list of the for domestic priced in the first ser	re been received. re been received in a ocuments have been it Rule 17.2(a)). re certified copies no ority under 35 U.S.C. atence of the specification has to	Application No n received in this Nationa t received. § 119(e) (to a provisional cation or in an Application been received.	al application) n Data Sheet.	
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim ference was included in the first ser	for domestic pric	rity under 35 U.S.C	&& 120 and/or 121 since	a specific	
Attachment		,	2,	.,	J. I. 1.10.	
I) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F	PTO-948) Paper No(s)	4) Interview 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PTo	(s) O-152)	
Detect - 17	1.00					

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-20, 23-42, 45-63 and 66-84, drawn to a method of detecting and isolating cells that produce a secreted protein of interest, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- II. Claims 21, 22, 43, 44, 45, 64, 65, 85 and 86, drawn to a non-human organism containing a cell produced by the method of Group I, classified in class 800, subclass 8.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are distinct. Inventions are distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, Group I is directed to a method of making a subcombination that is comprised within the claimed animal. The animal is not used in the method, nor is it made by the method because the method of making the animal would comprise many steps beyond detecting and isolating cells. Furthermore, the cell comprised within the animal is a product by process and, as such, reads on the cell made by any means. Therefore, the animal of Group II may comprise any cell producing a secreted protein of interest, regardless of whether that cell was detected and isolated by the method of Group I.

Art Unit: 1636

Claims 1, 23, 45 and 66 link patentably distinct inventions. Specifically, claims 2-5, 24-27, 46-48 and 67-69 recite various embodiments of the protein of interest and claims 7-9, 29-31, 50-52 and 71-73 are recite various embodiments of the cell surface capture molecule. Each embodiment set forth is patentably distinct in being directed to a method of using structurally and functionally distinct molecules, which are not disclosed as capable of use together. Applicant must therefore elect a single embodiment of the protein of interest and cell surface capture molecule for examination along with the linking claims. With regard to the protein of interest, Applicant must elect an embodiment selected from the group consisting of a ligand, a soluble receptor, a growth factor, an antibody, an Fab, an ScFv and anything fused to an antibody. Further, as the species set forth in claims 4, 26, 47 and 68 are linked by the generic growth factor of claims 2, 24, 46 and 67 respectively, Applicant may choose a single species of growth factor to be examined along with the generic growth factor. Likewise, the species set forth in claims 5, 27, 48 and 69 are linked by the generic antibody of claims 3, 25, 46 and 67 and Applicant may choose a single species of antibody to be examined along with the generic antibody.

With regard to the cell surface capture molecule, Applicant must elect an embodiment selected from the group consisting of a ligand specific receptor, a receptor specific ligand, an antibody binding protein, an antibody, an ScFv, anything fused to the constant region of an antibody, a peptide from a phage display library and a peptide from a peptide library. As the ligand or receptor species set forth in claims 8, 30, 51 and 72 are linked by the generic ligand or generic receptor molecule of claims 7, 29, 50 or 71 respectively, Applicant may choose a single species of ligand or receptor molecule to be examined along with the generic ligand or receptor molecule. Likewise, the species set forth in claims 9, 31, 52 and 73 are linked by the generic

Art Unit: 1636

antibody binding protein of claims 7, 29, 50 or 74 respectively, and Applicant may choose a single species of antibody binding protein to be examined along with the generic antibody binding protein.

The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claims. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, or because each of the distinct Inventions comprise distinct elements and therefore cannot be searched coextensively, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1636

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier.

Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to

Art Unit: 1636

retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so** may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel M Sullivan whose telephone number is 571-272-0779. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

DMS

PRIMARY EXAMINER